




Регионална платформа на западен Балкан за застапување
на слободата на медиумите и за заштита на новинарите
Western Balkan's Regional Platform for Advocating
Media Freedom and Journalists' Safety



This project is funded by
The European Union

Indicators on the level of media freedom and journalists' safety [MACEDONIA]

SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS



Indicators on the level of media freedom and journalists' safety [MACEDONIA]

SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS

**Authors:
Besim Nebiu,
Naser Selmani,
Dragan Sekulovski**

Indicators on the level of media freedoms and
journalists' safety (Macedonia) - Summary of the
findings

Publisher

Association of Journalists of Macedonia



Authors

Besim Nebiu, Naser Selmani and Dragan Sekulovski

Proofreader

Nikola Naumovski

Design

comma | communications design

This publication has been produced with the financial assistance of the European Union. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of the Independent Journalists' Association of Serbia and its authors, and can in no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union.



Contents

Summary of the findings	5
Project goals and Research Methodology	5
Media legislation in Macedonia fails to meet the European standards	6
The Government policy does not provide a favourable environment for well-functioning media	6
Strategic Goals	7
Recommended Actions	7
Key institutions and their role in implementing the above-mentioned guidelines and recommendations:	8
Comparative table: Overview of indicators on the level of media freedom and journalists' safety in the Western Balkans	9
A. Legal protection of Media and Journalists' Freedom	10
B. Journalists' position in the newsroom, professional ethics and levels of censorship	17
C. Journalists' safety	21

Summary of the findings

Project goals and Research Methodology

This report presents the findings of the research conducted within the regional project *"Western Balkan's Regional Platform for advocating media freedom and journalists' safety"*¹, which is implemented by the national journalists' associations in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kosovo, Macedonia, Montenegro and Serbia. The main objective of the research study was to provide base-line assessment and evidence on the level of media freedom and journalists' safety which will be further used in a regional mechanism for monitoring and advocating media freedoms and journalists' safety at local, national and regional level.

The research study was conducted by a regional research team composed of a lead researcher² and five researchers at country level nominated by the national journalists' associations. The research in Macedonia was conducted by Besim Nebiu, coordinator within the project, Naser Selmani, President of AJM and Dragan Sekulovski, Executive Director of AJM, on the basis of a common Methodology for all five countries. A set of different qualitative and quantitative methods were employed for data collection and analysis:

¹ *The project is funded by the European Commission, under the Civil Society Facility and Media Programme 2014-2015, Support to regional thematic networks of Civil Society Organizations.*

² *The research team was headed by Dr Snezana Trpevska, expert in media law and research methodology.*

- *Qualitative Documents Analysis (QDA)* of: research studies and analyses produced by other research organisations, academia, NGOs, individual researchers etc.; official documents produced by public institutions (legal acts, by-laws, strategies, annual reports, minutes from meetings, press releases) and media coverage (texts, articles, news reports and other published materials).
- *Qualitative interviews* with 11 individuals (journalists, lawyers, media experts, representatives of public institutions or NGO's).
- *Survey* with 69 journalists³ from different media organisations on the basis of a structured questionnaire developed within the Worlds of Journalism Study⁴.
- *Official statistic data* requested from public institutions or collected from available websites or from other published sources.

This executive summary presents the key finding and conclusions of the research, as well as, summarizes the key policy recommendations. The research shows that the situation with media in the Republic of Macedonia is poor, and has been getting worse over the past years. The government, have been using all available instruments to limit the freedom of speech and control the media. This conclusion was drawn from the findings arising from the following three groups of indicators: A (legislation and implementation), B (professional, economic and social situation of journalists) and C (government treatment of violence and attacks against journalists). In respect to all the above-mentioned aspects the report finds that:

Media legislation in Macedonia fails to meet the European standards

Part of the Law on Audio and Audio-visual Media Services that covers the position and functions of the media regulatory body and public broadcaster is not aligned with the recommendations of the Council of Europe, which insist on attaining political independent and sustainable source of financing. In the case of Macedonia, these key objectives have not been reached. The Agency of Audio and Audio-visual Media Services (AAVMS) and

PBS are heavily politicized and politicized and have no sustainable source of funding.

The last amendments made to the Electoral Code did not address these key remarks. Instead of de-politicization and de-politicized of the media regulator and the public broadcaster, the government and the opposition in August 2016 reached an agreement that further politicization and politicized these key institutions of the media system. Within AAVMS an ad hoc body was formed. This ad hoc body, composed of representatives of the political parties, is responsible for monitoring broadcast media and sanctioning unprofessional reporting when detected, one hundred days before the general elections.

The fines for media are high and disproportionate. The last changes made to the Law of media, the Law of audio and audio-visual media services (LAAVMS) as well as the Electoral Code, which were in favour of creating conditions for fair and free elections, there is halving the fines for media on all grounds, both in terms of respecting the working conditions of media, and in terms of how media is reporting on the election campaign. The fines are reduced, but they must not be equal for all media. They should rather should vary depending on the type of media to whom they belong and their financial power. For an example, the fine of EUR 4.000,00 for unbalanced reporting during election campaign for national TV stations with terrestrial concession is negligible compared to print and online financial portals which are financially much more fragile.

The Government policy does not provide a favourable environment for well-functioning media

Journalists are being detained, violence against journalists is being tolerated, and public money is being used for corrupt media. Through these practices, the government significantly and systematically limits the freedom of the media and causes self-censorship among journalists. Such bad practises include:

- *Imprisonment of journalists:* In the last three years, two journalists have been imprisoned ((Kezarovski was sentenced to four years in prison for revealing the identity of a protected witness, but the sentence was reduced to two years in January 2015, Bozinovski has been detention for six months over allegations of espionage and blackmail).
- *The failure to undertake measures to sanction attacks against journalists:* There is a visible and

3 The survey was conducted on intentional quota sample of 69 journalists from different media at local, regional and national level. It is important to note that, although, the quota sample does not allow for generalization of the findings for the entire journalistic population in Macedonia, the obtained views from the sample, however, provide a good basis for consideration of the situation in regards to media and press freedoms.
4 Available at: <http://www.worldsofjournalism.org/>

open policy of impunity for attacks against journalist. None of the 35 cases of attacks that have occurred over the past three years, has been processed and none of the perpetrators sanctioned.

- *Use of public funds to corrupt media:* The government continuously and systematically misuses public funds to corrupt private media, through so-called “government campaigns”. The government becomes one of the biggest advertisers in media, disturbing the media market and threatening the independence of media. According to the AAVMS, if in 20135 the media market was around 20 million, during the same year through “promotional campaign”⁶ the government has spent over 7 million euros. Also, since 2014, the government spend about one million euros annually to subsidize the domestic production of national private broadcasters. Government advertising and subsidy provision for domestic production is not transparent and is based on vague criteria. In this manner, the government grants special treatment to pro-government media at the expense of those that are being critical. Also, the local governments are financing media through municipal budgets, as a means to exercise influence on the editorial policy of media. In addition, the report finds that:
- The number of lawsuits against journalists has decreased, after decriminalization of *defamation* in in the year 2012. In comparison to the previous period, as a result of the decriminalization of libel, court practice has improved. Though, this conclusion is not valid under conditions when the journalist is being suing by high government officials
- The report also finds that *economic and social pressure* is widely used to restrict free journalisms. Only about half of the journalist in Macedonia have full-time employment contracts and social benefits, while the other half have incomes lower than the Macedonian average monthly income. Journalists work in difficult working conditions, and are being pressured by both media owners (within the newsroom) and state institutions (outside of them). Most journalist believe that their socio-economic status is worse than before.
- From the report it can also be concluded that *access to public information* is difficult, very slow and discriminatory. The government institutions, the Parliament, the Government and other public institutions are not transparent.

⁵ AVMU, 2014, page 32, http://www.avmu.mk/images/Analiza_na_pazarot_za_2013.pd.

⁶ Влада на РМ, 2014, <http://vlada.mk/node/9241>

Strategic Goals

This report finds that the following key strategic goals, for stable and continuous improvement of the media situation in Macedonia, ensure:

- Increased level of security of journalist
- Complete independence of media industry from political and party authorities.
- Complete independence of the regulatory body from the influence and interference of political parties, media industry and other centres of influence.
- Institutional and editorial autonomy of the PBS.
- Increased transparency of public institutions.
- Sanctioning of hate speech and discrimination in mainstream media.

Recommended Actions

To achieve this, concrete actions need to be carried out by state and political actors in the upcoming period. These actions are:

1. Changes in the media legislation
 - *Ban on all types of “state advertisements”* in the commercial electronic media. At the same time the Law should set forth a precise definition of “public campaign”. Additionally, the law should determine the conditions under which public campaigns shall be broadcast in the Public Broadcaster.
 - Ban of all typed of partisan-political advertisement in the media, within and outside of electoral period.
 - Changes of the decision-making structure and the way in which members of the AAVMS are proposed and elected, in order to ensure that this body becomes depoliticized, i.e. free of political party influence.
 - Changes in legal framework regarding the composition and capacity of the Program Council of MRT (The Public Broadcaster) in order to ensure de-polarization of this institution and the strengthening of the ties between the Public Broadcaster and the civil society in Macedonia.
 - Changes of the financial framework and the model of financing MRT uses, with the aim of ensuring its long-term sustainability, editorial independence and institutional autonomy.
 - Changes of the way MRT Editorial staff is being appointed.

- Ensuring that the Program Council of MRT members are appointed in an open public procurement process.
- Abolishment of the so-called “*cultural quota*” for domestic production of programmatic content of TV stations on national level.
- Introduce legal sanctions for “*hate speech*” or “*incitement of violence*” (article 48 of LAAVMS) according to positive practices from European countries and in accordance with Article 10 of ECHR.

2. Changes in the composition of the Regulatory Body and Program Council of MTV

Shortly after the above-mentioned legislative changes, it is necessary to appoint new members of the Council of the AAVMS and the Programme Council of MTV, through an emergency procedure. The new composition of the Programming Council should be responsible for reviewing the realization of MTV’s program functions, while insuring that is in line with the public interest. Also, it should be authorized to call upon newsrooms and the management of MTV to be guided by public interest.

3. Addressing and processing violence and intimidation against journalists

The Government, Ministry of Interior and the Judiciary system should show political will, institutional capacity, and to perform their legally prescribed mandate to undertake all measures for protection of journalists and urgently investigate and solve all cases and incidents that have been reported, thus sending a message that the safety and security of journalists is important. By doing so, they will send a clear message to the public, that violence against journalist is unacceptable and will not be tolerated.

4. Improving access to public information and increasing public institutions’ transparenc

The Government, ministries, the Parliament, Judiciary and other public institutions, including the AAVMS and the MRT, should enable prompt, fair and equal access to public information for all media outlets in the country, in an open non-discriminatory and fair treatment, without giving privilege to certain media.

Key institutions and their role in implementing the above-mentioned guidelines and recommendations:

- Ministry of Information and Society and Public Administration – should initiate the legislative process for amendments of the legislation, as described above.
- Parliament of RM – should adopt the legislative changes of LAAVMS in urgent procedure; Also, it should appoint (elect) new members of the AAVMS and Programmatic Council of MTV in urgent procedure. In order to do so, the parliamentary committees should organize public consultation with civil society.
- AAVMS – the input and collaboration of the professional service of the AAVMS should be of a key interest.
- MRTV – representatives of MTV, especially the programmatic staff should be included in the process.

**Comparative table: Overview of indicators
on the level of media freedom and
journalists' safety in the Western Balkans**

A

Legal protection of Media and Journalists' Freedom

A.1 Does national legislation provide guarantees for media freedom and is it efficiently implemented in practice?

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Is the right to freedom of expression and information guaranteed? Does it also encompass access to the Internet? Are the legal guarantees implemented in practice?	It is guaranteed, including access to the Internet. Law on Public Peace and Order in R. Srpska contains restrictive provisions on publication of Internet content (social networks and portals).	It is guaranteed, including access to the Internet, but legal guarantees are not efficiently implemented in practice.	It is guaranteed, including access to the Internet, but the laws are not efficiently implemented in practice.	It is guaranteed, including access to the Internet. Legal guarantees are poorly implemented in practice.	It is guaranteed, including access to the Internet. Legal guarantees are not implemented in practice.
Weather media legislation was developed in a transparent and inclusive process?	In general, the process was inclusive and transparent. Media community had an opportunity to submit amendments.	The process was not sufficiently transparent or inclusive.	Political agreement on changes in media laws made without consultations with media community.	The process was neither transparent nor inclusive.	The process was not sufficiently transparent and inclusive.
Have the state authorities attempted to restrict the right to Internet access or seek to block or filter Internet content?	No separate law on the Internet, but the new Law on Public Peace and Order in R. Srpska contains provisions that sanction 'inappropriate' behaviour on the social networks.	Media Law 2013 was an attempt to regulate online media. The 2015 Law prevents the publishing of phone tapped recordings.	Such cases haven't been registered yet.	No such cases.	There were several cases ('Feketic', news portal Pescanik etc.)

A.1 Does national legislation provide guarantees for media freedom and is it efficiently implemented in practice?					
Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Is the regulatory authority performing its mission and functions in an independent and non-discriminatory manner?	The regulator is not perceived as sufficiently independent and efficient in fulfilling its duties. Nomination of members of the Council of the regulatory body is politically motivated.	No, the regulator is under strong party-political influence. Its decisions are biased and selective.	The regulator is not perceived as sufficiently independent and efficient in fulfilling its duties.	The regulator is not perceived as independent. Nomination of members is politically motivated.	The regulator is not perceived as sufficiently independent and efficient in fulfilling its duties.
Is there a practice of state advertising in the media and is it abused for political influence over their editorial policy?	There are no transparent and clear criteria. The allocation of funds is selective, politically motivated and not transparent. New legislation is in the process of being drafted.	State advertising in the recent years has been largely abused to impose political influence over media. The Government was one of the main advertisers in the media until June 2015 when a moratorium on government campaigns was announced.	Public institutions allocate funds to the media in a selective and non-transparent manner.	Several ministries allocate money directly to online media for advertising. Some are selective.	There are no transparent and clear criteria. The allocation of funds is selective and not transparent.
Are there any types of media subsidies or production of media content of public interest and how is it implemented in practice?	There are no media subsidies. The media community has submitted two initiatives to the Ministry of Communication to establish a special fund for the production of media content of public interest, but they haven't been accepted.	There are funds allocated from the budget for national TV stations for new production in a non-transparent and biased manner.	There is a fund for supporting commercial radio broadcasters, but it's criticized as favouring pro-government broadcasters.	There are no media subsidies.	The funding scheme for programs of public interest is abused at local level for political influence.
What are the mechanisms for financing media in the languages of national minorities?	Such mechanisms do not exist.	There are no mechanisms for financial support of language diversity in the media yet the MRT formally has the obligation to produce content in 7 different languages	There is a good funding scheme supporting the national minorities' media.	There are no such mechanisms for funding private media in languages of national minorities. Yet, RTK includes all minority languages (Serbian, Bosnian, Turkish and Roma) in its scheme. Since June 2013 Serbian minority has its own channel - RTK.	There are media subsidies supporting media in minority languages.
Is the autonomy and independence of the PSB guaranteed and efficiently protected? Does the funding framework provide for its independent and stable functioning? Do the supervisory bodies represent the society at large?	Autonomy and independence is guaranteed by law, but is not implemented in practice due to strong influence of the political parties. The funding framework does not provide for stable functioning. The supervisory bodies do not represent the society at large.	Autonomy and independence is guaranteed, but not implemented. The funding framework does not provide for stable functioning. The MRT Council does not represent society at large.	Autonomy and independence is guaranteed, but insufficiently implemented. The funding framework is functional but does not provide for stable and independent functioning. The supervisory body does represent society at large.	Autonomy and independence is guaranteed, but not implemented. The funding framework does not provide for stable and independent functioning. The supervisory body does represent society, but it is politicized.	Autonomy and independence is guaranteed. The funding framework does not provide for stable functioning. The supervisory body does not represent society and is not controlled by it.

A.2 Does Defamation Law cause a 'chilling' effect among journalists?

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Are the defamation laws' provisions overly severe or protective for the benefit of state officials?	Defamation is decriminalized. Current legislation is in line with European laws, but its application in practice is mainly protective benefiting state officials.	Defamation was decriminalized in 2012. The Law on Civil Liability is in place and the court practice is generally good with few negative exceptions.	Defamation is decriminalized. Current provisions are not overly protective of state officials.	Defamation is decriminalized. Current provisions are not overly protective of state officials.	Defamation is decriminalized. Current provisions determine inappropriately large fines.
How many lawsuits have been initiated against journalists by the state officials in the past three years?	Large numbers of lawsuits have been filed against journalists (since 2003 around 100 per year). In September 2016 there were 173 active cases in the courts.	At least 10 cases of sued journalists by public officials/ institutions (fewer cases than in previous years). At the moment there are 35-40 cases against journalists. In 2012 this practice was 10 times higher.	There are no official statistics.	There are 20 on-going lawsuits against journalists. Out of these, six are initiated by state officials. Additional 9 cases were dismissed in 2012 since defamation and libel have been decriminalized.	Large numbers of lawsuits have been filed against journalists (413 in 2014; 406 in 2015).
Are there examples when other legal provisions were used to "silence" journalists for legitimate criticism or for investigative journalism?	The case of the magazine Slobodna Bosna, which ceased publishing its print edition in December 2015, under the pressure of a large number of defamation lawsuits.	The case of the journalist Kezarovski, who was sued for revealing the name of a "protected" witness. Also, journalist Bozinovski has been indicted for espionage and extortion and has been in detention for the past 6 months.	Such cases have not been registered yet.	No such cases.	Such cases have not been registered so far.
Is justice administered in a way that is politically motivated against some journalists? What kinds of penalties have been imposed?	The courts are under strong political influence. Similar cases are differently interpreted by courts in different entities. Lawsuits against Federal Television (FTV) are solved in favour of the president of R. Srpska. The fines are not high (app. 2.500 euro), but some media have between 20-50 lawsuits.	The courts are under strong political influence. In the case of the critical weekly Fokus the court imposed large fines on the editor and the journalist. The plaintiff was the Director of Administration for Security and Counter Intelligence.	Lower courts administer the cases quite fairly, while the higher courts are more rigid. Imposed fines are not high.	No such cases.	The courts are under strong political influence. In the case of TV Forum Prijepolje journalists who were threatened by the City Mayor, the appellate court overturned the original verdict and acquitted the mayor in 3 day process.
Do the courts recognize the self-regulatory mechanism (if any)? Do they accept the validity of a published reply, correction or apology?	The courts in BiH respect the mediation process between the offended and the media outlet, which is carried out by the Press Council. An initiative to amend the Defamation Law in order to include the mediation process as compulsory before filing a lawsuit started.	The court may take into consideration the decisions of the Council of Media Ethics, however this is not obligatory.	The courts are not obligated to take into consideration the decisions made by the self-regulatory bodies.	The courts do not take into consideration the decisions of the self-regulatory body.	The courts mostly do not take into consideration the decisions of the self-regulatory body.
What do the journalists think about the defamation law? Are they discouraged to investigate and to write critically?	79.7% of journalists answered that the threat of defamation is very or extremely influential on their work.	32% of journalists answered that the threat of defamation is very or extremely influential on their work.	44% of journalists answered that the threat of defamation is very or extremely influential on their work.	44% of journalists answered that the threat of defamation is very or extremely influential on their work.	26% of journalists answered that the threat of defamation is very or extremely influential on their work.

A.3 Is there sufficient legal protection of political pluralism in the media before and during election campaigns?

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Is political pluralism in the media regulated by media legislation (for the non-election period)?	There is only a general principle for broadcasters political views and sources of information.	There is only a general principle for broadcasters to reflect diverse political views.	There is only a general principle for broadcasters to reflect diverse political views.	There is only a general principle for broadcasters to reflect diverse political views.	Political pluralism is determined as a general principle for all broadcasters.
Is the regulatory authority obliged to monitor and protect political pluralism?	The regulator is obliged to monitor and protect political pluralism only during the election period.	The regulator is obliged only for the period of the election campaign.	That obligation is not within the jurisdiction of the regulator.	The regulator is obliged only for the period of the election campaign.	The regulator is obliged to supervise the broadcasters and undertake measures for the period of the election campaign.
What are the legal obligations of the media during election campaigns?	The Law on Election in BiH(Chapter 16) and by-laws of PBS. Fair and equal access to all political parties, objective, fair and balanced reporting.	Election Code and by-laws. Fair and equal access to all political parties, objective, fair and balanced reporting.	Election Code and Law on the PSB. Fair and equal access to all political parties, objective, fair and balanced reporting.	Election Law and Independent Media Commission Code of Conduct. Fair and equal access to all political parties, objective, fair and balanced reporting.	Law on electronic media and Rulebook on media coverage. Fair and equal access to political parties, objective, fair and balanced reporting.
Do political parties and candidates have fair and equal access to the media during the non-election period and during the election campaigns?	Political parties don't have fair and equal access to media in non-election or in election period.	Political parties don't have fair and equal access to media in non-election or in election period.	Political parties don't have fair and equal access to media in non-election or in election period.	Political parties generally receive fair and equal access to media during election campaigns.	Political parties don't have fair and equal access to media in non-election or in election period.

A.4 Is journalistic freedom and association guaranteed and implemented?

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Do journalists have to be licensed by the state to work?	Journalists do not need a license by any state authorities. There was only one attempt to introduce licenses for journalists in 2005, but it was condemned and not accepted.	Journalists do not need a license by any state authorities, but the Law on Media contains a restrictive definition of a 'journalist'. There are proposals coming from 'pro-governmental' journalists to introduce 'licences' for journalists.	Journalists do not need a license by any state authorities. There are some proposals to introduce 'licences' for journalists, with 'justification' to increase professionalism.	Journalists do not need a license by any state authorities.	Journalists do not need a license by any state authorities. There was only one attempt to introduce licenses for journalists, but it was condemned and not accepted.
Have journalists been refused the right to report from certain places or events?	Several cases are registered: Decision by RS authorities to prevent access to events for BHT (2010) and FTV (2012) journalists; Access refused to the Palace of the RS President for Liljana Kovacevic, Beta news agency since 2012; and to BH TV during 2015. 26% journalists reported that they were refused the right to report from some events because they did not have accreditation.	A major violation happened on 24.12.2012, when the security services expelled the journalists to prevent them from reporting on the ousting of the opposition from the Parliament. Also, journalists were not permitted to report from some court hearings.	43% of the surveyed journalists reported that they were refused to report from some events.	Recent violation was the case of Saranda Ramaj (Koha Ditore). 61% of the surveyed journalists reported that they were refused to report from some events.	42% of the surveyed journalists reported that they were refused to report from some events.

A.4 Is journalistic freedom and association guaranteed and implemented?

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Are journalists organised in professional associations and if yes how? Are there pressures on their association or individual members?	5 registered associations. Association of BH Journalists works actively. Several cases of political pressure on BHJA and verbal attacks have been reported to their members; BHJA website hacked several times; The Press Council is repeatedly under political and other pressures; in 2014 its office was broken into and damaged; its website was under constant hacker attacks and was completely destroyed on May 3, 2014 (World Press Freedom Day)	AJM is the oldest (since 1946) and largest association, member of IFJ. There is another association (MAN) active since 2013, which is close to the Government. AJM members have been subject to numerous pressures so far. Apart of this, in 2010 with the assistance of AJM journalist union SSNM was established and deals with topics related to social and labour rights of journalists. In 2013 AJM assisted in establishing the Council of Media Ethics which is an active stakeholder in safeguarding professional standards.	There are two journalists' associations, but 80% of the journalists are not members of any association. Media Council for Self-regulation gathers a large number of media, but not the biggest media that are perceived as government opponents. These media have their own ombudsmen. There were no cases of pressures on the journalists' associations.	The main association is the Association of Journalists of Kosovo (AGK). No evidence of pressures. There is also a Press Council, as a self-regulatory body that regulates print and online media.	There are two main associations - Independent Journalists' Association of Serbia (NUNS), Journalists' Association of Serbia (UNS). There is a regional JA, Independent Journalists' Association of Vojvodina and an association mainly consisting of journalists <i>employed in the state owned media</i> . There is also a Press Council, as a self-regulatory body. There are many pressures on journalists' associations.
Are journalists organised in trade unions and if yes, how? Are there pressures on the trade union leaders and other members?	There are trade unions at entity level, in Brcko District and in the PSBs. There are at least seven trade unions which are officially registered in BiH: Independent Union of PSB, Trade union of RTV Gorazde and Trade Union of RTV Una. Some of them report political pressures and pressures from media management.	There is an Independent Association of Journalists and Media Workers. Its leader had been sacked for being active in the union.	There are several trade unions. The leader of Trade Union of Media of Montenegro had been dismissed from work and later returned by court decision.	There is no journalists' trade union of Kosovo.	There are two trade unions: Journalists' Trade Unions of Serbia and Trade Union Independence. They are weak and under pressure mostly from media owners. A third Union exists as part of the Union of Autonomous Trade Unions of Serbia.
Are the journalists free to become members of trade unions? How many journalists are members of the trade unions?	BHJA reports on restrictions for journalists and media professionals to organize in trade unions. It is estimated that only 16% of the media have established TU branches. There is no estimated figure about membership.	There is a union at the PSB. Almost no trade unions in the private media. There are no reliable figures about membership, because some members are 'hiding' due to fear of pressures.	Around two thirds of the journalists are not members of any trade union. Most of the members are from the PSB, while fewer from the private media.	The only union is within the PSB, which organized protests against the PSB management. Their leaders were under pressure.	Most of the journalists feel free to become members, but they are not interested because unions are weak. 78% of the surveyed confirmed they are not members.

A.5 What is the level of legal protection for journalists' sources?					
Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
How is the confidentiality of journalists' sources guaranteed by the legislation?	It is guaranteed in the Constitution and in several legal acts, although some issues are not clearly defined.	It is guaranteed in the Constitution and in several legal acts.	It is guaranteed in the Constitution and in the media legislation. Some provisions are not clear enough.	It is guaranteed by the Law on protection of journalists' sources.	It is guaranteed in the Constitution and in several legal acts.
Is confidentiality of journalists' sources respected? Were there examples of ordering the journalists to disclose their sources and was that justified to protect the public interest?	It is generally respected, but there were some cases registered: (1) the news portal Klix from Sarajevo – its equipment was confiscated by the police in December 2014; (2) the case of Zeljko Rajlic, journalist from Banja Luka, who the police threatened to confiscate all equipment.	Generally, it is respected, but the case of Kezarovski showed that journalists can be imprisoned on the basis of other legal provisions.	Several cases of open pressures on journalists to disclose their sources have been registered.	Several cases show that the confidentiality of sources is not respected (e.g. Indeks-online and Blic).	Generally, it is respected. There are only sporadic cases (e.g. the case -Teleprompter).
Were there any sanctions against journalists who refused to disclose the identity of a source?	There were no such cases.	Kezarovski was convicted to a 4.5 year jail sentence. His sentence was reduced to 2.5 years.	There were no such cases.	There were no such cases.	Such cases haven't been registered so far.
Do journalists feel free to seek access to and maintain contacts with sources of information?	49 % of the surveyed journalists stated that they regularly or very often have contacts with their sources.	36% of the surveyed journalists stated that they regularly or very often have contacts with their sources.	67% of the surveyed journalists stated that they regularly or very often have contacts with their sources.	50% of the surveyed journalists stated that they regularly or very often have contacts with their sources.	64% of the surveyed journalists stated that they regularly or very often have contacts with their sources.

A.6 What is the level of legal protection of the right to access of information?					
Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
What are the legal rules on access to official documents and information which are relevant for journalists?	Access is guaranteed. There are no specific provisions relevant for journalists. The BiH courts and other judicial institutions have special procedures for acquiring information from and reporting on certain institution.	Access is guaranteed. No specific provisions relevant for journalists. The implementation is poor.	Access is guaranteed. There are no specific provisions relevant for journalists.	Access is guaranteed. There are no specific provisions relevant for journalists. The implementation is poor.	Access is guaranteed. There is a Commissioner for Information of Public Importance and Personal Data Protection as an independent state body.
Do the journalists use these rules? Do the authorities follow the rules without delays? How many refusals have been reported by journalists?	Journalists in BiH do use legal provisions, but they complain that procedures are very long and deadlines not suitable for them. 27% of the surveyed journalists who submitted requests were refused.	Journalists are not well informed about the rules and rarely use them. Those who requested access were often refused.	Journalists rarely use these provisions. 37% of the surveyed journalists who submitted requests were refused.	78% of the surveyed journalists stated that the institutions refused to provide them with the requested documents.	Journalists in Serbia do use the right to access information. 42% of the journalists stated that they submitted requests but were refused by institutions.

A.6 What is the level of legal protection of the right to access of information?

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Are the courts transparent? Is media access to legal proceedings provided on a non-discriminatory basis and without unnecessary restrictions?	74.4% of the journalists stated that the courts demonstrate some (19.3%), a great deal (26.1%) or complete (29%) transparency.	48% of the journalists stated that the courts show little (24%) or no transparency at all (24%), while 25% think they demonstrate some level of transparency.	44.5% of the journalists stated that the courts show some level (29.6%), a great deal (9.3%) or complete (5.6%) transparency.	48.1% of the journalists stated that the courts show some level of transparency. 37% think the courts are a little transparent and 7.4% think they are not transparent at all.	59.4% of the journalists stated that the courts are a little (37.8%) or not transparent at all (21.6%), while 24.3% think they show some level of transparency.
Is public access to parliamentary sessions provided? Are there restrictions for journalists to follow parliamentary work?	77.8% of the journalists stated that the Parliament demonstrates some (10%), a great deal (73%), or complete (29%) transparency.	31% of the journalists stated that the Parliament shows little (25%) or no transparency at all (6%), while 31% think it shows some level of transparency.	72.2% of the journalists stated that the Parliament shows some (25.9%), a great deal (31.5%) or complete (14.8%) transparency.	44.4% of the journalists stated that the Parliament shows some level of transparency. 22.2% think the Parliament is a great deal transparent and 7.4% think it shows complete transparency.	64.8% of the journalists stated that the Parliament demonstrates some (7.2%), a great deal (14.4%), or complete (43.2%) transparency.
How open are the Government and the respective ministries?	61% of the journalists stated that the Government shows little (29%) or no transparency at all (32%).	46% of the journalists stated that the Government shows little (25%) or no transparency at all (21%), while 21% think it shows some level of transparency.	50% of the journalists stated that the Government shows some level of transparency, while only 16.7% think it shows little or no transparency at all.	48% of the journalists stated that the Government shows little (37%) or no transparency at all (11%), while 40% stated that it shows some level of transparency.	59.4% of the journalists stated that the Government shows little (37.7%) or no transparency at all (21.7%). 24.3% think it shows some level of transparency.

B

Journalists' position in the newsroom, professional ethics and levels of censorship

B.1 Is the journalists' economic position abused to restrict their freedom?

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
How many journalists have signed work contracts? Do they have adequate social protection? How high are the journalists' salaries? Are they paid regularly?	There are estimations that between 35%-40% journalists have neither work contracts nor social and health insurance. Those with valid contracts are not sufficiently protected. The situation is worse in the private media. Salaries in the local media range from 200 to 500 euro, in the PSBs the average salary is 700 euro, while in some private media (including international media) it's about 900 euro.	No precise data is available on the number of employed journalists with signed working contracts. Some studies show that about half of the journalists have work contracts with social and employment benefits. 58% of the surveyed journalists earn up to 360 euro.	Around 800 journalists are employed, half of them in the PSB. There are no exact figures about the number of them with signed work contracts. The average journalist salary is 470 euro. Around half of the journalists are paid regularly.	No precise data, but it is known that many journalists have no work contracts. Half of the journalists in the survey stated that their salaries range from 200 to 500 euro. Delays in salary payment are up to several months. Salaries are not paid in full amount.	No precise data on the number of employed journalists with signed work contracts. Very often labour rights of the journalists are not respected. The average journalist salary is 400 euro. Salaries are not paid regularly.

B.1 Is the journalists' economic position abused to restrict their freedom?

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
What are the journalists' work conditions? What are the biggest problems they face in the workplace? Do they perceive their position better or worse compared with the previous period?	Precarious work. The employers can terminate the contracts any time and the journalists do not have any legal protection. Most journalists stated that their economic and social position is worse than 2-3 years earlier. In the survey, 74% journalists stated that their economic position decreased a lot.	Precarious work. 77% of the surveyed journalists in 2014 considered their current journalistic engagement insecure. 80% consider that their economic position is worsening.	Precarious work. Many journalists in private media work overtime, covering many different areas. 54% of the surveyed journalists consider that their economic position is worsening.	Precarious work. Journalists work overtime or during holidays without compensation. Half of the surveyed journalists concluded that their economic position is worsening.	Precarious work. Journalists are forced to work on other tasks and to engage in marketing. 76% of the journalists said that their economic position decreased significantly comparing to the previous years.

B.2 What is the level of editorial independence from media owners and managing bodies?

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
How many media outlets have internal organisational structures that keep the newsrooms separate and independent from managers and marketing departments?	The newsrooms in the private media are not separate and independent from managers and marketing departments.	Only the largest media outlets keep the newsrooms separate, but they are influenced by economic and political interests.	Most of the private media do not have an internal structure and newsrooms are not separate from managers and marketing.	The larger media keep the newsrooms separate, but they are still influenced by managers and owners.	Most of the private media do not have an internal structure and newsrooms are not separate from managers and marketing. Many do not even have legal acts.
Do private media outlets have rules set up for editorial independence from media owners and managing bodies? Are those rules respected?	Internal editorial rules do exist in some media but they are not effective. There are no provisions which guarantee the independence of the journalists and their right to reject jobs that are not in accordance with professional standards and ethics.	Very few media have such rules. Even where these exist they are generally not respected.	Very few media have such rules. Even where these exist they are generally not respected.	Very few media have such rules.	It is not known that any of the private media outlets have adopted internal rules on editorial policy.
Do private media outlets' newsrooms have adopted internal codes of ethics or they comply with a general code of ethics?	Most of the private media do not have internal code but adhere to the general code of ethics.	Private media do not have internal code of ethics. They adhere to the general code of ethics.	Private media haven't adopted internal code of ethics. They adhere to the general code of ethics.	Most of the private media adhere to the Code of ethics of the Press Council.	Most of the private media do not have internal code but adhere to the Journalist's Code of Ethics of the JAs.
What are the most common forms of pressure that media owners and managers exert over the newsrooms or individual journalists?	The owners or program directors are key filters in deciding whether to publish or not certain information. Direct forms of pressure: very low salaries, threats of losing one's job, mobbing, frequent overtime work, 'ordered articles' etc.	Direct forms of pressure: threats of losing one's job, physical threats, even threats of dismissal of relatives in public administration.	Owners do not accept critical reporting toward powerful businessman. There is self-censorship among journalists.	The lack of working contracts leads to self-censorship. Late salaries are also another form of indirect pressure on journalists.	The journalists are kept in constant fear of being fired. Mobbing is very frequent. The owners ask from the journalists to work on some topics and to avoid others.

B.3 What is the level of journalists' editorial independence in the PBS?

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Does the PSB have an adopted code of journalists' conduct and editorial independence? Do the journalists comply with this code?	All PSBs have adopted Editorial Principles, but they are mostly not respected in practice. The journalists in the PSBs work under pressures and their work is influenced on a daily basis.	General Code of conduct is not adopted, although this is PSB's obligation according to its Statute. Code of ethics for election periods has been adopted in 2016 with the support of British experts and local stakeholders.	PSB has its ethical code for all employees. Journalists are not mentioned. There is no code of journalists' conduct. PSB editorial independence is a concern.	PSB has its code of conduct. The code is poorly implemented in practice.	RTS and RTV do not have their own specific codes of ethical principles of reporting, but only a general code of conduct for all employees.
Do the PSB bodies have a setup of internal organizational rules to keep the newsrooms independent from the PBS managing bodies? Are those rules respected?	PSBs have adopted internal organizational rules but newsrooms are not independent from the managing and governing bodies.	PSB has internal organizational rules but newsrooms are not independent from the managing bodies.	PSB has its formal organizational rules but newsrooms are not independent from the managing bodies.	PSB has its formal organizational rules but newsrooms are not independent from the managing bodies.	Both PSBs have formal rules to keep the newsrooms separate and independent from the management, but they are not respected in practice.
What are the most common forms of pressure that the government exerts over the newsrooms or individual journalists in the PBS?	There are indirect forms of pressure through the management and Steering Committee. But there are also direct pressures even from the members of the BiH Presidency, BiH Parliament, President of RS, Prime Ministers in both entities and ministries.	Government officials exert influence through the PSB management (Programming Council of MRT).	Government officials influence through the PSB management. Recently there has been a shift by the leading editors of the Public Service, and the situation is partly improved.	Government officials influence through the PSB management.	There are indirect forms of pressure (through the management), but also direct pressures (even from the Prime Minister)
What was the most illustrative example of the pressure exerted by the government over the work of entire newsrooms or individual journalists?	In June 2016 the BiH Parliament did not make a decision on the funding framework for the three PSBs in BiH. RS President Dodik verbally attacked the FTV correspondent from Banja Luka.	Published recordings from the phone tapping scandal revealed that government officials had threatened PSB journalists' job security if they did not report along the 'desired' lines.	The case of the journalist Mirko Boskovic who hasn't been receiving work assignments since he published a series of investigative TV stories on crime and corruption involving one of the municipality presidents in 2015.	In April 2015, 60 journalists and editors wrote a public letter criticising the management and the general director for interference, censorship and mismanagement.	In 2015 Serbian Progressive Party publicly attacked the PSB of Serbia for airing an interview with the editor of the daily <i>Danas</i> in which he criticized the Prime Minister.

B.4 What is the level of journalistic editorial independence in the non-profit sector?

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Have the non-profit media adopted a code of journalists' conduct and editorial independence? Do the journalists comply with this code?	There are three non-profit radio stations in BiH. There are also some online news media which are supported by international donors. All of them are using the existing code of practice and Press Code adopted in BiH	There are only three non-profit radio stations aimed for students. There are few online news portals which are established as non-profit media. Professional journalists are employed only in the news portals. They comply with the general code of ethics.	Non-profit media are not developed. There is one community radio. No professional journalists are employed.	Very few non-profit media exist in Kosovo. They comply with general code of ethics of Independent Media Commission (for broadcasting) and of Press Council (for print and online).	Very few non-profit media exist in Serbia. They adhere to the Journalist's Code of Ethics of the JAs.

B.4 What is the level of journalistic editorial independence in the non-profit sector?

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
What are the most common forms of pressure over the non-profit media outlets?	They are sometime referred to as "foreign mercenaries" because they are financed by donations. The other media refuse to publish their investigative stories.	There are forms of pressure over the journalists in the news portals that are critical towards the Government.	No such cases.	They are sometimes referred as "foreign mercenaries" because they receive funds from foreign donors.	They often publicly attacked by the pro-governmental media as "foreign mercenaries" because they receive funds from foreign donors. Some critical news portals are subject to hacking.
What was the most illustrative example of the pressure exerted over the non-profit media?	Brutal verbal attacks, hate speech, harassment and discrimination to CIN female journalists (July 2016). Denial of information, verbal treats as well as threats to journalists from the news portal Zurnal for publishing property records of certain politicians (2014 and July 2016).	No such cases.	No such cases.	The case of Balkan Investigative Reporting Network (BIRN) attacked through smear campaign by the newspaper Infopress.	The case of the Network for investigating crime and corruption (KRİK), which was attacked by the tabloid Informer.

B.5 How much freedom do journalists have in the news production process?

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
How much freedom do the journalists have in selecting news stories they work on and in deciding which aspects of a story should be emphasized?	54 % of surveyed journalists stated that they have a great deal (29%) or complete (25%) freedom in selecting stories. 59% stated they are free to decide which aspects of a story should be emphasized.	57% of surveyed journalists reported having a great deal (36%) or complete (21%) freedom in selecting stories. Even more journalists (71%) said they are free to decide which aspects of a story should be emphasized.	57% of surveyed journalists reported having great (35%) or complete (22%) freedom in selecting stories. 61,5% of journalists stated that they have a great deal (31,5%) or complete (30%) freedom in deciding which aspects of a story should be emphasized.	62% of surveyed journalists stated that they have a great deal (28%) or complete (32%) freedom in selecting stories. 52% stated they are free to decide which aspects of a story should be emphasized.	58% of the surveyed journalists stated that they have a great deal (30%) or complete (28%) freedom in selecting stories. 62% stated they are free to decide which aspects of a story should be emphasized.
How often do the journalists participate in editorial and newsroom coordination (attending editorial meetings or assigning reporters)?	64% of surveyed journalists always or very often attend editorial meetings.	48% of surveyed journalists always or very often attend editorial meetings.	73% of surveyed journalists always or very often attend editorial meetings.	86% of surveyed journalists always or very often attend editorial meetings.	62% of surveyed journalists always or very often attend editorial meetings.
What are the journalists' self-perceptions on the extent to which they have been influenced by different sources of influence: editors, managers, owners, political actors, state?	Editors are most influential on journalists' work (77%), then owners (45%), managers (39%), and Government officials (24%).	Editors are most influential on journalists' work (53%), then Government officials (46%), managers (40%) and owners (39%).	Editors are most influential on journalists' work (83%), then managers (63%), owners (56%) and Government officials (28%).	Editors are most influential on journalists' work (50%), then managers (30%), pressure groups (16%), government (10%) and politicians (8%).	Editors are most influential on journalists' work (76%), then managers (49%), owners (42%) and Government officials (26%).
How many journalists report censorship? How many journalists report they succumbed to self-censorship due to fear of losing their job or other risks?	51% of surveyed journalists stated that censorship has influence on their work.	55% of surveyed journalists stated that censorship has influence on their work.	55% of surveyed journalists stated that censorship has influence on their work.	30% of surveyed journalists stated that censorship is somewhat influential on their work.	41% of the surveyed journalists stated that censorship has influence on their work; however self-censorship is the biggest problem.



C.1 Safety and Impunity Statistics (3 years back, for murders 15-20 years)

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Number and types of threats against the lives of journalists and other types of threats.	From 2013 till September 2016: 65 verbal threats and pressures; 21 physical attacks; 7 death threats; 15 mobbing/discrimination; 35 other cases.	Based on the AJM register from 02/06/2011 until present, there are 35 cases of violence towards journalists (death threats, physical violence, destruction of private property, detention etc.)	From 2013 till June 2016 there were 8 verbal threats.	From 2013 until August 2016, Kosovo Police registered 62 cases reported by Kosovo journalists.	From 2013 till June 2016 there were: 69 verbal threats and 32 pressures.
Number of actual attacks. How many journalists have been actually attacked?	From January till September 2016 at least 7 physical attacks.	In total 35 cases are registered.	From 2013 till June 2016 there were: 1 physical attack and 7 attacks to the property.	From 2013 until August 2016 there were: 12 physical attacks and 13 attacks on property.	From 2013 till June 2016 there were: 33 physical attacks and 9 attacks on property.
Number and types of murders. How many journalists were murdered in the past 15-20 years?	From 1992 until 1995 - 38 journalists and media professionals were murdered (38 BiH citizens and 7 foreigners). After the war in BiH, there was an assassination attempt on Zeljko Kopanja, the owner of Nezavisne novine from Banja Luka.	Officially, there are no such cases in the last years.	One murder in 2004.	Three murders: 2000, 2001 and 2005.	Three murders: 1994, 1999 and 2001.

C.1 Safety and Impunity Statistics (3 years back, for murders 15-20 years)

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Number and types of threats and attacks on media institutions, organisations, media and journalists' associations.	Since 2013 there were 217 attacks on media outlets, media institutions, trade unions, journalists' association and the BiH Press Council.	AJM, the Trade Union, the Council of Media Ethics and other organizations that are critical towards the Government are often subject to attacks. This was noted in EC reports.	Since 2013 there were 4 attacks on media. No data regarding attacks on other organizations.	Since 2014 there were two attacks. In 2015, KOSSEV portal in the north of Kosovo was attacked with gun shots. In 2016, RTK was attacked with a hand grenade.	Since 2014 there were 275 attacks on news portals and with other types of pressures on their journalists and editors. No data regarding attacks on other organizations.

C.2 Do state institutions and political actors take responsibility for the protection of journalists? (3 years back)

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Have the state institutions developed specific policies to support the protection of journalists, offline and online? If yes, is the implementation of such policies assured with sufficient resources and expertise?	Ministry for Human Rights adopted the Action Plan for human rights protection, one chapter is focused on protection of media freedom and journalists' rights, especially in cases of attacks and pressures. Ministry of Justice drafted amendments to Criminal Law to protect journalists who are victims of attacks.	In Macedonia there is a trend of impunity when it comes to the rights of the journalists. State institutions haven't developed any policies or measures for protection of journalists.	There is no developed policy.	There is no developed policy.	There is no developed policy. There were attempts - a draft memorandum on measures to raise security levels related to journalist safety between JAs and relevant institutions.
Are there any mechanisms (institutions, programmes and budgets) for monitoring and reporting on threats, harassment and violence towards journalists? Who monitors and keeps records of attacks and threats? Do the state institutions publish updated data regarding attacks on journalists and impunity? What measures are taken upon the incidents and by whom?	There are no such mechanisms. Free Media Help Line is the unique service for providing free legal and professional help to media and journalists. FMHL shares its data and reviews of cases with all state institution, media, media organizations and international organizations.	There are no such mechanisms. No disciplinary measures, known to AJM, have been taken against any of the perpetrators. Politicians condemn attacks the attacks of journalists extremely rarely.	There are no such mechanisms. The State Public Prosecution and Police administration monitors keep records. So far, data on the number of attacks and measures taken have been published.	There are no such mechanisms. In recent years, Kosovo Police has started to prepare a special list of threats and attacks against journalists. No state institution publishes data regarding attacks on journalists.	There are no developed mechanisms, but certain efforts have been made. In December 2015 an <i>Instruction for gathering evidence of crimes against journalists and attacks on Internet sites</i> was adopted and since implemented. All public prosecution offices quarterly submit evidence to the State Public Prosecution which monitors the implementation and keeps records. As a part of its regular activities IJAS records all reported incidents and conducts follow ups.

C.2 Do state institutions and political actors take responsibility for the protection of journalists? (3 years back)

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Are the attacks on the safety of journalists recognized by the government institutions as a breach of freedom of expression, human rights law and criminal law? Do public officials make clear statements recognising the safety of journalists and condemning attacks upon them?	Not so far. BiH ministries are working on changes in the Criminal Law and on the development of internal procedures for protecting journalists and freedom of expression as a basic human right.	Despite formal and declarative commitments to freedom of the media, the institutions (Ministry of Interior, courts and the prosecutors' office) failed to resolve any of the cases which are registered by AJM in the last 5 years.	Yes. They strongly condemn but only declaratively, because the conditions do not change.	Public officials condemn attacks, but only in serious cases. In general, attacks against journalists are recognized by the government institutions as a breach.	The state has recognized the need for this (Action Plan, Chapter 23, a section is dedicated to freedom of expression, freedom and pluralism of the media), but deadlines are not respected. Public officials rarely give clear statements condemning attacks on journalist.
Are there any documents adopted by the state institutions which provide guidelines to military and police and prohibit harassment, intimidation or physical attacks on journalists?	There are two guidelines for police officers on conduct with journalists, adopted 15 years ago in cooperation with the OSCE mission.	There are no such documents.	There are no such documents.	There are no such documents.	There are no such documents. The draft memorandum on measures to raise security levels related to journalists' safety is considered as an attempt in this direction.
Do the state institutions cooperate with the journalists' organisations on journalists' safety issues? Do the state institutions refrain from endorsing or promoting threats to journalists?	It the past two years, there has been good cooperation with the Parliamentary Commission for Human Rights, Ministry of human rights and the Regulatory Agency for Communication. But, there are no satisfactory public reactions by state institution in case of attacks and violence against journalists.	In general, the cooperation is insufficient. The institutions only formally submit replies to the official requests sent by AJM.	There is no such kind of cooperation.	The cooperation is not on a satisfactory level.	The cooperation is not on a satisfactory level. There is no regular cooperation between JAs and state institutions.
In cases of electronic surveillance, do the state institutions respect freedom of expression and privacy? Which was the most recent case of electronic surveillance of journalists?	There is no reliable evidence on such cases. No appropriate control mechanisms over the bodies which are authorized to conduct electronic surveillance. Most recent cases include wiretapping of the Oslobođenje and Dani magazine journalists, upon the order of the former director of the State Security Agency (SIPA) and the case of wiretapping of journalists who were in contact with the former President of BiH Federation and published the transcripts from the conversation with FTV journalist Avdo Avdic.	No appropriate control mechanisms over the bodies which are authorized to conduct electronic surveillance. In 2015 the main opposition party published that more than 100 journalists have been subject of illegal surveillance in the last four years (10% of all journalists in the country). Documents from the phone tapped recordings were given to 15 journalists. On behalf of these journalists, the AJM submitted criminal law suits.	There is no reliable evidence on such cases. No appropriate control mechanisms over the bodies which are authorized to conduct electronic surveillance. Most recent case: February 2013 when a group of journalist claimed that they were tracked and their phone conversations eavesdropped.	There is no reliable evidence on such cases. There are no known cases of any electronic surveillance of journalists.	There is no reliable evidence on such cases. No appropriate control mechanisms over the bodies which are authorized to conduct electronic surveillance. Most recent case: Network for investigating crime and corruption (KRIK) and its editor Stevan Dojcinovic.

C.3 Does the criminal and civil justice system deal effectively with threats and acts of violence against journalists? (3 years back)

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Are there specific institutions/units dedicated to investigations, prosecutions, protection and compensation in regard to ensuring the safety of journalists and the issue of impunity?	There are no such state institutions/units. There is only the Free Media Help Line which is established by the BH Journalists' Association.	There are no such institutions/units.	There are no such institutions. An exemption is the Commission for monitoring the activities of the competent authorities in investigation of old and recent cases of threats and violence against journalists, murders of journalists and attacks on media property.	There are no such institutions.	There are no such institutions. An exemption is the Commission on reviewing the facts related to investigation of the murders of journalists.
Are there special procedures put in place that can deal appropriately with attacks on women, including women journalists?	There are no such procedures. From 2013 until September 2016 FMHL registered 2 cases of death threats, 3 physical attacks and 23 verbal attacks/political pressures on female journalists.	There are no such procedures.	No such procedures.	No such procedures.	No such procedures. There are several cases of attacks on female journalists (4 physical and 22 verbal attacks).
Do the state agencies provide adequate resources to cover investigations into threats and acts of violence against journalists?	Adequate resources are not provided by the state institutions. Efficient actions and investigation were undertaken by the Police and Prosecutors Office in Sarajevo in the cases of Lejla Colak (death threats) and Borka Rudic (verbal threats and hate speech) in July and August 2016.	The institutions do not provide for any effective legal or statutory protection of journalists in the course of their professional work. No resources are allocated to investigate threats or acts of violence.	Adequate resources are not provided by the state. Investigations are very slow and with weak results.	Adequate resources are not provided by the state. Threats against journalists and other citizens are treated the same. Investigations are very slow.	Adequate resources are not provided by the state. Investigations are very slow and with no results.
Are measures of protection provided to journalists when required in response to credible threats to their physical safety?	Such examples were not registered.	Such measures are not provided. There were cases where the offenders were documented on video. In one case the Deputy Prime Minister physically attacked a journalist in a public space which and was recorded and subsequently published, but the institutions did not undertake any measures.	In the most severe cases, two attacks on journalists Tufik Softic, the state has provided 24 hour physical protection, but the problem is that the perpetrators have not been found, so that the cause which compromised Softic's security has not been removed.	Police protection was provided for two journalists (2014 and 2016) but both journalists considered they don't need close protection, mainly for personal reasons.	Some measures are provided, but they depend on the specific case. IJAS has information about four journalists living under 24/7 police protection. The biggest problem with the cases of journalists who are protected by the police is that the state does not undertake measures to remove the actual threats.
Are the investigations of crimes against journalists, including intimidation and threats, investigated promptly, independently and efficiently?	The investigations are not efficient and do not provide sufficient evidence. The court procedures are very slow. According to the Association of BH Journalists only 15% of the criminal cases were investigated and resolved.	Based on the experience of AJM, the investigation of crimes against journalists is either not even initiated and if it is this process is slow and without official closure.	No. Masterminds aren't known in any of the bigger cases, and a large number of perpetrators haven't been found. The investigations are not efficient and do not provide sufficient evidence.	No. Three post-war murders of journalists haven't been resolved yet. In general, the investigations are slow and inefficient.	No. The three cases of murders haven't been resolved yet. The investigations are inefficient and do not provide sufficient evidence. The court procedures are very slow.

C.3 Does the criminal and civil justice system deal effectively with threats and acts of violence against journalists? (3 years back)

Indicators	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Macedonia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia
Are effective prosecutions for violence and intimidation carried out against the full chain of actors in attacks, including the instigators/masterminds and perpetrators?	The biggest problem is that the real actors (politicians, public officials or other powerful individuals) are not prosecuted in any of the cases. Also, real actors or instigators in the case of Zeljko Kopanja have never been discovered.	No.	No. The biggest problem is that the real actors or instigators are never discovered. In the murder case of Dusko Jovanovic, only one accomplice was convicted.	No. The real instigators or masterminds are never discovered.	The biggest problem is that the real actors or instigators are never discovered. The case of the journalist Curuvija proves that.
Does the State ensure that appropriate training and capacity is provided to police, prosecutors, lawyers and judges in respect to protection of freedom of expression and journalists?	Some forms of training were organized by professional association of judges and prosecutors and by media organizations.	There is no information on such trainings. However, there are several cases registered where the offenders are members of the police and these incidents took place during public demonstrations.	Some forms of training were organized in the past years.	No training is ensured by the state.	Some forms of training were organized in the past years. Although planned, specialized forms of training haven't been started yet.

